Is Her X-1 a strange star?

Jes Madsen

Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark (November 10, 1995)

Abstract

The possible identification of Her X-1 with a strange star (Li et al. 1995) is shown to be incorrect.

Key words: equation of state—stars: neutron—pulsars: individual: Her X-1

Submitted to Astronomy & Astrophysics (Letters)

A recent *Letter* by Li et al. (1995) estimates a semiempirical mass-radius relation for the X-ray pulsar Her X-1 and compares it with models for neutron stars and strange stars. Based on this comparison, the authors conclude that "the strange star model is more consistent with Her X-1", and therefore "suggest it is a strange star".

Unfortunately this interesting conclusion is incorrect. As demonstrated by the authors, the concordance between the strange star models and the Her X-1 data occurs for a choice of bag constant $B^{1/4}$ in the range from 175–200 MeV, whereas a lower choice of bag constant gives models that are as inconsistent with the data as are the neutron star models used. However, strange quark matter is unstable for this range of parameters. For massless quarks and negligible strong coupling (as assumed by the authors), stability only occurs for $B^{1/4}$ in the range from 145–164 MeV (Farhi & Jaffe, 1984; Madsen, 1994). This means, that strange stars cannot exist for bag constants above 164 MeV, as erroneously assumed by the authors.

Using more realistic assumptions (like finite strange quark mass and non-zero strong coupling constant) will not improve the situation. A non-zero strong coupling constant, α_s , effectively corresponds to a lowering of the bag constant and keeping $\alpha_s = 0$. This would reduce all the numbers quoted for $B^{1/4}$ above, but there would still be a gap of more than 10 MeV between the lowest value of $B^{1/4}$ fitting Her X-1 and the highest value consistent with strange quark matter stability. A non-zero strange quark mass makes things even worse, because it leads to an even narrower interval of $B^{1/4}$ for stability (and possible strange star existence).

If the semiempirical mass-radius relation for Her X-1 derived by Li et al. is correct, there is indeed an interesting problem in interpreting it in terms of standard neutron star equations of state. But a strange star model does not fit either.

References

Farhi E., Jaffe R.L., 1984, Phys.Rev.D 30, 2379

Li X.-D., Dai Z.-G., Wang Z.-R., 1995, A&A 303, L1

Madsen J., 1994, Physics and Astrophysics of Strange Quark Matter. In Sinha B., Viyogi Y.P., Raha S. (eds.) Physics and Astrophysics of Quark-Gluon Plasma. World Scientific, Singapore, p. 186